

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/630,143	. (07/30/2003	Kenneth W. Hunter	IMMUSONIC-005-1	9478
21897	7590	09/30/2005		EXAMINER	
THE MAT				JONES, DV	VAYNE C
2000 BERING DRIVE SUITE 700				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOUSTON,	TX 770	57	1614	0	

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. 1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/630,143	HUNTER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dwayne C. Jones	1614				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		·				
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or of	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	(PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05) Office Ac	ction Summary Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 09272005				

W

Application/Control Number: 10/630,143

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-4 and 11-12, drawn to a method for enhancing immune responses by upregulating co-stimulatory molecules, classified in classes 514 and 536, subclasses 54 and 123.1+, respectively.
 - II. Claim 5, drawn to a method for expressing an increased number of B7 molecules on the surface of an antigen presenting cell to more efficiently potentiate the immune system, classified in class 424, subclass184.1+.
 - III. Claims 6-8, drawn to an enhanced macrophage, classified in class 435, subclass 41+.
 - IV. Claim 9 and 10, drawn to a composition, classified in class 536, subclasss 123.12.
 - V. Claims 13 and 14, drawn to a vaccine, classified in class 424, subclass 130.1+.
- VI. Claims 15-23, drawn to a method of making, class 536, subclass 124.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I, II, and III –V, VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects. In the instant case the different inventions are directed to two separate and distinct methods one for enhancing immune responses by upregulating co-stimulatory

Application/Control Number: 10/630,143

Art Unit: 1614

molecules and the other directed to a method for expressing an increased number of B7 molecules on the surface of an antigen presenting cell to more efficiently potentiate the immune system as well as vaccines and methods of making glucans.

Page 3

- 3. Inventions (I and II) and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product such as with a method for determining and/or assaying the presence of a macrophage.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I is not required for Groups II and VI, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 1614

6. In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of *In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer* and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder.

- 7. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.
- 8. Claims 1 and 6 generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising various types of enhanced expression of co-stimulatory molecules.

 Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,143

Art Unit: 1614

9. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

- 10. A telephone call to the attorney is not required where the restriction requirement is complex, see MPEP Section 812.01.
- 11. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. C. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0578. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays, Tuesdays, Wednesdays, and Fridays from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,143 Page 6

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Low, may be reached at (571) 272-0951. The official fax No. for correspondence is (571)-273-8300.

Also, please note that U.S. patents and U.S. patent application publications are no longer supplied with Office actions. Accordingly, the <u>cited U.S.</u> patents and patent application publications are available for download via the Office's PAIR, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. As an alternate source, <u>all U.S. patents and patent application</u> publications are available on the USPTO web site (www.uspto.gov), from the Office of Public Records and from commercial sources.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications may be obtained from Private PAIR only. For more information about PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov Should you have any questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 1-866-217-9197 (toll free).

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Tech. Ctr. 1614

September 27, 2005